**Ph.D. Comprehensive Examination**

***PUBLIC POLICY***

**May 2014**

**Instructions:**

Please respond to two of the following questions, one from Part I and one from Part II. You have up to six hours to complete the examination.

Keep the following in mind when constructing your essays. First, you should articulate a coherent argument in answering each question. Merely demonstrating that you have read a literature is insufficient for answering these questions satisfactorily. Second, you should view the examination as an opportunity to demonstrate your understanding of multiple literatures in the field of public policy. Because your examination will be evaluated on its overall comprehensiveness, you should select questions and formulate responses that demonstrate the breadth of your preparation. Third, rough cites to prominent works (for example, “Kingdon’s book on policy agendas”) are acceptable.

**Part I:**

1. Concepts and tools developed in the context of American public policy are regularly applied to issues in comparative politics and international relations. Compose an essay in which you consider the advantages and disadvantages of these types of applications. In crafting this essay, consider in detail one area in which approaches in American public policy have been applied to questions in comparative politics and/or international relations. What is the evidence that such applications shed insight into global politics and public policy? What are some of the difficulties that must be faced in carrying out such applications?

2. The role of rationality in the making and implementing of public policy has for many decades been the source of much controversy. What, in your estimation, is the most convincing theoretical application of the notion of rationality to come out of the fields of political science, public policy, and public administration? Compare and contrast this application with a prominent theoretical account that is at least somewhat dismissive of rationality in policymaking and implementation. Based on this juxtaposition, and your general understanding of research on rationality, to what extent is it the case that rationality is an all-or-nothing concept? Are decision making theories necessarily grounded in rationality, on the one hand, or antithetical to rationality, on the other hand?

**Part II:**

1. Political scientists who study public policy are often interested in policy feedback, the ways in which policy affects politics. Policy feedback is hypothesized to lead to path dependency, which creates possibilities and limitations for reform. Discuss path dependency in policymaking and implementation, and explain how and why the development of policies may in turn create political opportunities and constraints. Using a substantive example with which you are familiar, lay out how policy decisions made in the past have shaped and influenced politics today. Given your analysis of path dependency in this example, explain how the literature on policy feedback might guide policymakers to craft reforms that are politically feasible.

2. What prominent theories exist in research in political science, public policy, and public administration regarding the behavior of legislators and bureaucrats (treat each of these separately) in the making and implementing of public policy? Compare and contrast these theories in terms of their strengths and weaknesses in accounting for legislative and bureaucratic decision making. What are the primary similarities and differences in how researchers have theorized about legislators and bureaucrats, respectively? In the end, what theories do you think best account for legislative and bureaucratic behavior?

3. In recent years, the importance of networks in policymaking has increased substantially. For example, it is now the case that the vast majority of public programs require the involvement of multiple federal agencies, private sector entities, and/or nonprofit organizations during implementation. Construct an essay in which you address the following questions regarding networks. What are the key characteristics of networks? How are networks similar to and different from other forms of making policy (e.g., markets and hierarchies)? In what specific ways have networks been utilized in policymaking? What are the strengths and weaknesses of various theories about networks? In what ways have researchers applied concepts of network theories in empirical research? How successful have these empirical applications been in producing novel insight into policymaking?